SI 701
Doctoral Foundations Seminar
Fall 2013, v1.0 (August 29, 2013)

Meets: Tuesdays, 8:30-11:30 AM, 1265 North Quad
Instructor: Paul Resnick
Office: 4344 North Quad
Office hours: Mondays 3-5PM or by appointment
Email: presnick@umich.edu

The most current version of this syllabus should always be available on the Ctools site for the course.

COURSE OVERVIEW

SI 701 is the required seminar for first-year doctoral students. It presents a distinctive collection of important ideas about the use and value of information, from psychology, information and library science, economics, archival science, computer science, sociology, law, history, and other disciplines. It distills concepts essential to the School of Information's unique perspective on information studies, and introduces students to bodies of literature that will be essential for further coursework at SI and for students' future careers.

SI 701 is a reading-intensive discussion seminar that covers a large body of material. Emphasis is on understanding and being able to articulate the ideas expressed in the readings, both orally and in writing. Additionally, we will work to develop creative, constructive, and critical engagement: the ability to identify and imagine how concepts and methods from one area may apply to others, even while rigorously analyzing ideas, methods, and results to probe for problems, errors, and alternative hypotheses or representations.

OBJECTIVES

1. Become conversant with the ideas and literatures that are foundational for the study of information as practiced at the School of Information. Develop an understanding of the disciplinary origins of these ideas. Build connections between and among ideas from the different fields that constitute the systematic study of information.
2. Improve your ability to read, comprehend, and remember large bodies of diverse content. Refine critical analytical and evaluative skills.
3. Increase your awareness of and ability to engage with potentially useful concepts, theories, and literatures outside your own area of expertise.
4. Improve your ability to explain your research interests to others and learn to appreciate contributions from scholars and researchers outside your immediate area of interest. Develop the ability to present succinct summaries and commentaries orally and in writing.
5. Understand and practice the writing requirements for scholarly communication, including clear and succinct synthesis of prior literature, critical commentary, and crisp, compelling presentation of new and improved ideas of your own.

6. Improve your ability to prepare activities and lead discussion in a classroom setting.

REQUIREMENTS AND ASSIGNMENTS

1. **Attendance and active participation in all class sessions.** You are expected to attend all classes and to arrive in class on time and thoroughly prepared to participate actively in all discussions. I will assign a letter grade of A, B, C, or F each week that you are not the class leader. Your eight highest grades for the semester will count (25 percent of grade).

2. **Weekly response papers.** Starting in week 2, each week you will write a 400-600 word response to the required reading (except for weeks in which you are leading discussions; see below.) The response paper should summarize the argument(s) of the week’s readings very succinctly (1-3 sentences per reading) and discuss how the readings connect with each other and/or with previous weeks’ readings. Summaries may be woven into the discussion. The paper should also include a cogent critique of some aspect of the reading. *Your response paper is due no later than 8 a.m. each Tuesday, on CTools. After class, you are expected to make small revisions to your paper and turn in a redlined version showing your changes by 8 a.m. on Wednesday. Only the redlined version will be graded for correctness, but the original version will be graded for effort.* Your ten highest grades on the short response papers will count. (25 percent of grade).

3. **Serve as the lead discussant twice during the term.** The discussion leader (or leaders when two people share this role) prepares a lesson/discussion plan. This may include a short handout, a short lecture, a description of learning objectives, in-class exercises, and discussion questions. The discussion leader will meet with the instructor prior to the class session to assess the student’s draft plan. The discussion leader leads the discussion, with significant involvement of the instructor. Sign up for two weeks at https://docs.google.com/a/umich.edu/spreadsheet/ccc?key=0AksSf2Pqo50-dGRwQW5aQWMwQ05DVW80STluY05TYlE&usp=sharing (25 percent of grade; 12.5 percent for each session).

4. **Take-home final exam (25 percent of grade).**

**NB:** successful completion of the doctoral foundations seminar is a requirement for continuation in the PhD Program. Work to achieve a grade of A or A-. Final grades of B+ or below are considered warning signs in PhD-level work.

**PLAGIARISM POLICY**

Plagiarism is the use of another person’s words or ideas without attribution to their source. In American intellectual culture, this is considered a form of cheating, dishonesty, and/or theft. At the University of Michigan and in professional settings generally, plagiarism is an extremely serious matter.

*In your writing for this course (and in most professional settings), please paraphrase whenever possible.* This helps you process and understand what you
have read. If truly necessary, you can quote published work, but quotations must be clearly marked and properly attributed. You may obtain copy editing assistance, and you may discuss your ideas with others — but all substantive writing and ideas must be your own or else be explicitly attributed to another, using a citation. The exact form of the citation is not important; what matters is that you provide sufficient detail for someone else to easily relocate your source, even years later (so URLs alone are insufficient).

All cases of plagiarism will be reported immediately. There will be no warnings, no second chances, no opportunity to rewrite. Consequences can range from failing the assignment (a grade of zero) or failing the course to expulsion from the University. For additional information about plagiarism, see the Rackham pamphlet on Academic Integrity and Plagiarism: What It is and How to Recognize and Avoid It from Indiana University. If you have the slightest doubt about whether you are using the words or ideas of others appropriately, please ask.

DISCUSSIONS

This is a discussion seminar. Its success depends on the commitment and involvement of all participants. You will be graded on both the regularity and the quality of your participation, including your responses to cold calls.

Cold calls: to encourage full involvement and preparation, the professor or discussion leader may “cold call” students. This means that I will ask you a direct question on the readings. I will expect answers that demonstrate your knowledge of the material and your ability to draw interesting connections from them to other ideas and your own research. This practice is not intended to single out or embarrass anyone. Instead, its goal is to help you learn to think and talk “on your feet,” a crucial skill required of people working as researchers and teachers. This is rarely easy or comfortable, but it is critical to your success as a scholar. Ironically, the best way to think and talk “on your feet” is to be well prepared. Please prepare notes on the readings and come to class ready to speak out frequently.

Leading discussion: twice during the term, you will help lead class discussion. See the requirements and assignments session. Sign up for two weeks at https://docs.google.com/a/umich.edu/spreadsheet/ccc?key=0AksSf2Pqo50-dGRwQ2W5aQWMwQ25DveV80STluY05TY1E&usp=sharing

COURSE SCHEDULE

Note: Some readings may be added, dropped or replaced. Readings not linked directly will be available on CTools. (Items in red will not be provided electronically; please look into borrowing or purchasing these).
Week 1 (September 3): Intro: Sciences of the Artificial


**Recommended:**
Bush, Vannevar, "As We May Think," *The Atlantic Monthly* 176:1 (1945); pp 101-108

Week 2 (September 10): Information Theory

**Presenters:**


Do the exercises in the Entropy Exercises word doc found in the folder for this week in Resources on CTools.

**Recommended:**

Week 3 (September 17): Information and Action 1: Automatic and situated responses

**Presenters:**


**Recommended:**
Miller, G.A. “The Magical Number Seven, Plus or Minus Two: some limits on our capacity for processing information.” *Psychological Review* 63 (1956), 81-97
John Anderson, *Cognitive Psychology and its Implications*, chapters 5, 7, 9

**Week 4 (September 24): Information and Action 2: Boundedly rational choice**

**presenters:**

**Week 5 (October 1): Information and Action 3: Rational choice with non-standard utility functions and non-standard weighting of outcome probabilities**

**presenters:**

**Week 6 (October 8): Strategic Thinking and Coordination**

**presenters:**
Easley, D. and Kleinberg, J. *Networks, Crowds, and Markets: Reasoning About a Highly Connected World*, Chapter 6, Games. (I strongly encourage you to do some of the exercises at the back of the chapter to cement your understanding.)

**Recommended:**

**October 15 — NO CLASS (Fall break)**

**Week 7 (October 22): Information and Communication**

**presenters:**

**Week 8 (October 29): Information aggregation and collective decision-making**

**Presenters:**


**Week 9 (November 5): Information processing in organizations and communities of practice**

**Presenters:**


Wenger, E. *Communities of Practice*, Vignettes I and II, Chapters 1-3 (pp. 18-38, 51-99)


**Recommended:**


**Week 10 (November 12): Information acquisition and diffusion**

**Presenters:**


**Week 11 (November 19) Automated Information Retrieval and Classification of Unstructured Text**

Manning, C.D., P. Raghavan and H. Schütze (2008) *Introduction to Information Retrieval*, Cambridge University Press, Chapters 1, 2, 6, 8, 13. You can view as HTML, download individual chapters, or download the entire book.

**Recommended:**


**November 26, NO CLASS (Instructor at a conference in Japan; Thanksgiving week)**

**Week 12 (December 3): Human-assisted Structuring and Classification**

**Presenters:**


**Recommended:**


**Week 13 (December 10): Information Infrastructure (plus a little Retrospective Sensemaking about course themes)**

**Presenters:**


Edwards, Paul N., A Vast Machine: Computer Models, Climate Data, and the Politics of Global Warming (MIT Press, 2010), Introduction and Ch. 1

SI 500, “Learning Objectives.” Be prepared to expand on at least 10 of the Learning Objectives during class discussion: What does it mean? Why is it important to know this? Origins of the concept(s)? What literatures are relevant? Connection with other learning objectives? Also — which of the LOs do you least understand, and why? What would you need to know in order to grasp it better? Where would you turn first to learn more?